

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/905,128	GRESHES, MARTIN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Yewebdar T Tadesse	1734	

**All Participants:**

(1) Yewebdar T Tadesse.

(2) James Quinton.

**Date of Interview:** 3 February 2004

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*None*

Claims discussed:  
*1 and 20-31*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Attorney gave authority to the examiner to insert the phrase -- of concave mold -- in claim 1, line 11 after the word "edge" to clarify 112, 2nd Paragraph issue. Attorney also gave the examiner premission to cancel the non-elected claims 20-31.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)